

# SOME REMARKS ON PREVENTIVE REPLACEMENT MODEL

L. Knopik<sup>\*</sup>, K. Migawa<sup>\*\*</sup>, K. Peszyński<sup>\*\*\*</sup>, S. Wawrzyniak<sup>\*\*\*\*</sup>

**Abstract:** This paper presents a policy of preventive replacement that consists of the burn-in procedure and age-replacement. Criteria function in the derived maintenance model is a cost per unit time. Properties of criteria function are obtained .Illustrative example based on the derived maintenance model is given in this paper.

Keywords: Lifetime distribution function, Reliability function, Failure rate function, Early failure, IFR-class, Burn-in procedure, Age-replacement, Criteria function.

## 1. Introduction

With development of the contemporary manufacturing technology, products have become more technologically advanced and more reliable. Burn-in is the procedure used to eliminate early failures in maintenance process. Preventive maintenance policy such as age-replacement is often used in maintenance. Age-replacement plays a key role in organization of the maintenance because it can significantly contribute to reducing cost of maintenance. As it is well known, in age-replacement model, if the technical object does not fail before a perspective time x, then it is replaced by a new one preventively; otherwise object is replaced at the failure time. Burn-in procedure consists of testing a new technical object for a given period before its active life in order to predict early failures. Burn-in procedure has been studied by several authors, including (Kuo and Kuo, 1983) who presented a main aspect of this procedure. In paper (Block and Savits, 1997), the burn-in optimization examples are derived. Many research studies combined policies of burn-in with age-replacement, for example (Jiang and Jardine, 2007; Canfield, 1975; Mi, 1994 and Drapella and Kosznik, 2002). The use of this combined policy is sometimes more effective than use of burn-in procedure without age-replacement. An example of combined policy is presented in (Mi, 1994). In this paper the period of inspection method and the replacement method are determined. Often the situation is that a population time to failure is heterogeneous and consists of two sub-populations, which represent early failures and wear-out failures. For the early failures the mean time to failure (MTTF) is "short", while for the wear-out failures the MTTF is "long". The sets times to failures is a mixture of two set early failures and wear-out failures. Mixture distributions model often arises for a reasons in statistical data of times to failures. Reduction of early failures is done in the burn-in process and failures in wear-out are removed by age-replacement. In this paper the sufficient conditions for the existence of the minimum per unit time for age-replacement are investigated. In this paper the sufficient condition of existence of minimum cost per unit time for agereplacements investigation. This is investigated assuming that the burn procedure was previously used to point time b. Distribution of lifetime T has non-decreasing failure rate function  $\lambda(t)$ . Criteria function considered in this paper was introduced in paper (Jiang and Jardine, 2007). A numerical example is analyzed to illustrate investigation of this paper.

<sup>\*</sup> Assoc. Prof. Leszek Knopik, PhD.: Faculty of Management, UTP University of Science and Technology, Fordońska Street 430, 85-790 Bydgoszcz, Poland, knopikl@utp.edu.pl

<sup>\*\*</sup> Assoc. Prof. Klaudiusz Migawa, PhD.: Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, UTP University of Science and Technology, Prof. S. Kaliskiego Street 7, 85-789 Bydgoszcz, Poland, klaudiusz.migawa@utp.edu.pl

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Assoc. Prof. Kazimierz Peszyński, PhD.: Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, UTP University of Science and Technology, Prof. S. Kaliskiego Street 7, 85-789 Bydgoszcz, Poland, kazimierz.peszynski@utp.edupl

<sup>\*\*\*\*</sup> Assist. Prof. SylwesterWawrzyniak, PhD.: Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, UTP University of Science and Technology, Prof. S. Kaliskiego Street 7, 85-789 Bydgoszcz, Poland, sylwester.wawrzyniak@utp.edu.pl

### 2. Model

Suppose that the population times consist of two sub-populations, one is weak with early failures and the other has with has a longer lifetime. To reduce the early failures, all technical objects experience a burn-in process with burn-in time b. In this article the following notation is used: T - time to failure, F(t) - distribution function of T, F(t) = P(T < t), R(t) - reliability function of T, R(t) = 1 - F(t), f(t) - density function of T, f(t) = F'(t),  $\lambda(t) - \text{failure rate function } \lambda(t) = f(t)/R(t)$ , IFR - class of lifetime with non-decreasing failure rate function  $\lambda(t)$ , ET(x) – mean time to failure or preventive replacement at moment x is  $ET(x) = \int_{0}^{x} R(t) dt$ , b - long of period burn-in, x - moment of preventive replacement,  $C_r - \text{unit cost of repair in burn-in process}$ ,  $C_f - \text{unit cost of repair after burn-in process}$ ,  $C_p - \text{unit cost of preventive replacement}$ ,  $C_0 - \text{unit cost of burn-in procedure}$ .

In this paper we assume that:

Assumption 1: 
$$C_f - C_p > 0$$
,  
Assumption 2:  $C_r - C_p > 0$ .

The expected burn-in and replacement cost per unit time is considered by (Jang and Jardine, 2007) given by

$$C(x,b) = \frac{C_B(b) + C_R C(x,b)}{w(x,b)}$$
(1)

where  $C_{B}(b)$  is the expected burn-in cost and

$$C_B(b) = \frac{C_r F(b)}{R(b)} + C_0 T_b$$
$$T_b = \frac{1}{R(b)} \int_0^b R(t) dt$$
$$C_R(x,b) = C_p + (C_f - C_p) + \frac{F(x+b) - F(b)}{R(b)}$$

After a simple transformation of C(x,b) given by (1) is now

$$C(x,b) = \frac{(C_{f} - C_{p})F(x+b) + (C_{r} - C_{f})F(b) + C_{0}ET(b) + C_{p}}{ET(x+b) - ET(b)}$$
(2)

The first partial derivative respect to *b* of criteria function C(x,b) is given by

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial b}(x,b) = \frac{(C_f - C_p) [H(x+b,x+b) - H(x+b,b)] + (C_r - C_p) [H(b,x+b) - H(b,b)]}{[ET(x+b) - ET(b)]^2} + \frac{C_0 [R(b)ET(x+b) - ET(b)R(x+b)] - C_p [R(x+b) - R(b)]}{[ET(x+b) - ET(b)]^2}$$
(3)

where H(x, y) = f(x)ET(y) - F(x)R(y).

The first partial derivative respect to y of the function H(x, y) is given by

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial y}(x, y) = f(x)ET(y) + F(x)R(y)$$

Function H(x, y) is increasing under y. Now, we conclude that

$$H(x+b,x+b) - H(x+b,b) \ge 0,$$
 (4)

$$H(b,x+b) - H(b,b) \ge 0.$$
<sup>(5)</sup>

For last but one term of nominator of (2), we obtain

 $C_0 \Big[ R(b) ET(x+b) - ET(b) R(x+b) \Big] = C_0 ET(x+b) ET(b) \Big[ \frac{R(b)}{ET(b)} - \frac{R(x+b)}{ET(x+b)} \Big]$ 

The function  $v(x) = \frac{R(x)}{ET(x)}$  is decreasing, and

$$C_0 \Big[ R(b) ET(x+b) - ET(b) R(x+b) \Big] \ge 0$$
(6)

For last term of nominator of (2), we obtain

$$C_{p}\left[R(x+b)-R(b)\right] \leq 0 \tag{7}$$

By assumptions A1, A2, and (4 - 7), we obtain

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial b}(x,b) \ge 0$$

**Corollary 1.** The criteria function C(x,b) is non-decreasing with respect to *b*.

We will analyze of the first derivative of criteria function C(x, b) with respect to x.

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial x}(x,b) = \frac{1}{R^2(x+b)} \left\{ \left[ \left( C_f - C_p \right) \left[ H\left( x+b,x+b \right) - f\left( x+b \right) ET\left( b \right) \right] - \left( C_r - C_p \right) F\left( b \right) R(x+b) \right] - C_p R\left( x+b \right) - C_0 ET\left( b \right) R(x+b) \right\} \right\}$$

Let  $H_1(x,b) = H(x+b,x+b) - f(x+b)ET(b)$ . We can see  $H_1(0,b) = R(x+b)F(x+b) < 0$  and  $H_1(\infty,b) = 0$ .

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial x}(x,b) = \frac{1}{R(x,b)} \Big[ \Big( C_f - C_p \Big) h \Big( x,b \Big) - A(b) \Big]$$
(8)

where  $h(x,b) = \lambda(x+b) \left[ ET(x+b) - ET(b) \right]$  and  $A(b) = (C_r - C_p) F(b) + C_p + C_0 ET(b)$ .

We can see that  $h(0,b) = -F(b) \le 0$  and by assumption A1 is A(b) > 0.

The first derivative with respect to x of h(x,b) is given by

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(x,b) = \lambda'(x+b) \left[ ET(x+b) - ET(b) \right]$$

If  $T \in IFR$  then

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(x,b) \ge 0$$

**Theorem.** If  $C_f - C_p > 0$ ,  $C_r - C_p > 0$ ,  $T \in IFR$  and

$$\lambda(\infty) > \frac{\left[\frac{A(b)}{C_f - C_p} + 1\right]}{\left(ET - ET(b)\right)}$$
(9)

then exactly one minimum of criteria function C(x,b) exists.

Proof. Let  $u(x,b) = (C_f - C_p)h(x,b) - A(b)$ . By  $C_f - C_p > 0$ , we conclude that u(0,b) < 0 and u(x,b) increasing under x. If  $u(\infty,b) > 0$  then the first derivative (8) exactly one change of sign for – to +. The condition  $u(\infty,b) > 0$  is equivalent to inequality (9).

**Corollary 2.** If  $\lambda(\infty) = \infty$  then, the assumption (4) is true and criteria function C(x,b) approaches one minimum.

#### 3. Numerical example

This section presents a numerical example to illustrate our results obtained in Section 2. The time to failure of a unit is assumed to follow a Weibull distribution and have the reliability function:

$$R(t) = exp\left(-\left(\frac{t}{a}\right)^{C}\right) \text{ for } \boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{c} > \boldsymbol{0}, \boldsymbol{t} \ge \boldsymbol{0}, \text{ the failure rate function } \lambda(t) = \left(\frac{c}{a}\right)\left(\frac{x}{a}\right)^{C-1}, t \ge 0$$

Also, we assume that b = 1.25,  $C_r = 8$ ,  $C_f = 10$ ,  $C_p = 1$ ,  $C_0 = 0.2$ Limit value of criteria function is

$$C(\infty,b) = \frac{\left(\left(C_r - C_f + C_p\right) + C_p ET(b) + C_f - C_p\right)}{\left(ET - ET(b)\right)}$$

In this example for every Weibull distributions parameter  $c \in \{2.5, 3, 3.5, 4\}$  we compute a value of parameter a such that  $C(\infty, b) = 2.4$ . Fig. 1 shows the graphs that describe the cost per unit time. We observe that for every  $c \in \{2.5, 3, 3.5, 4\}$  function C(x, b) approaches the minimum cost per unit time.



Fig. 1: Cost C(x, b) as function of time x of preventive replacement.

#### 4. Conclusions

Cost function C(x,b) proposed by (Jiang and Jardine, 2007) approaches a minimum respect to time of age-replacement. In this paper the sufficient conditions for the existence of the minimum per unit time for age-replacement are formulated.

#### References

Block, H.W. and Savits, T.H. (1997) Burn-In. Statistical Science, 12, pp. 1-19.

- Canfield, R.V. (1975) Cost Effective Burn-In and Replacement Times. IEEE Transactions on Reliability, 24, pp. 154-156.
- Drapella, A and Kosznik, S. (2002) Short Communications Combining preventive replacement and burn-in procedures. Quality Reliability Engineering International, 18, pp. 423-427.
- Jiang, R. and Jardine, A.K.S. (2007) An Optimal Burn-In Preventive-replacement Model Associated with a mixture distribution. Quality Reliability Engineering International, 23, pp. 83-93.
- Kuo, W, and Kuo, Y. (1983) Facing the Headaches of Early Failures: A State-of-the-Art Review of Burn-In Decisions. Proceedings of the IEEE, 71, pp. 1257-1266.
- Mi, J. (1994) Burn-in and maintenance policies. Advanced in Applied Probability, 246(1), pp. 207-221.